

Stochastic Analysis

WS 13/14

Prof. Dr. Noam Berger

Lehrstuhl Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie

TU München

1 SRW

What happens if 1 scale SRW as follows divide time by n and space by $\sqrt{(n)}$? We will get some continuous time process $B(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and $B(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t)$. It will have other properties

1. $S_l - S_k$ is indep. of S_k
2. take $0 < k_0 < k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_m$ then $S_{k_0}, S_{k_1} - S_{k_0}, S_{k_2} - S_{k_1}, \dots, S_{k_m} - S_{k_{m-1}}$ are all independent
3. if $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m$, then $S_{t_1}, S_{t_2} - S_{t_1}, \dots, S_{t_m} - S_{t_{m-1}}$ are all independent
4. if $t > s$ then $B_t - B_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t - s)$
5. continuity

2 Multivariate-Gaussian variables

2.1 Definition

Let X be an n - dimensional random variable $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$. We say that X is a **multivariate Gaussian variable (MGV)** if for every vector $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\langle X, \lambda \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \cdot X_k$$

has a normal distribution.

$X_1, X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ independent. $X = (X_1, X_2)$ is a MGV. Why? We need to verify that for every choice of λ_1 and λ_2 , $\lambda_1 X_1 + \lambda_2 X_2$ is normally distributed. How do we verify this?

$\lambda_1 X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \lambda_1^2)$. $\lambda_2 X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \lambda_2^2)$ \rightarrow

$$\varphi_{\lambda_1, X_1}(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_1^2 t^2}{2}\right)$$

$$\varphi_{\lambda_2, X_2}(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_2^2 t^2}{2}\right)$$

$\lambda_1 X_1$ and $\lambda_2 X_2$ are independent. With that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\lambda_1 X_1 + \lambda_2 X_2}(t) &= \varphi_{\lambda_1 X_1}(t) \cdot \varphi_{\lambda_2 X_2}(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_1^2 t^2}{2}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_2^2 t^2}{2}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2) t^2}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and this is the characteristic function of $\mathcal{N}(0, \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)$. Remembering that the characteristic function determines the distribution, we get that

$$\lambda_1 X_1 + \lambda_2 X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)$$

Let X be an N -dimensional random variable (RV). The **covariance matrix** Σ of X is the matrix s.t. $\Sigma_{ij} = \text{cov}(X_i, X_j)$ (provided that it is well defined). The expectation of X is the n -dim. vector $(E(X_1), E(X_2), \dots, E(X_n))$ (provided expectations exist). Theorem (will be proven in exercise section): The distribution of a MGW is determined by its expectation and its covariance matrix.

Important exercise

Let X_1, X_2 be independent $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ variables. Let

$$Y_1 = \frac{X_1 + X_2}{\sqrt{2}} \quad Y_2 = \frac{X_1 - X_2}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Then Y_1 and Y_2 are independent $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ variables.

Let $X = (X_1, X_2)$ a two dimensional variable. What is the density $f_X : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of X ?

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x_1, x_2) &= f_{X_1}(x_1) \cdot f_{X_2}(x_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-0.5r^2} \end{aligned}$$

where r is the distance of x_1, x_2 from origin consider the linear transformation

$$(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow \left(y_1 = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{\sqrt{2}}, y_2 = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

This is a rotation by 45° . With this fact

$$\Rightarrow y_1^2 + y_2^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

Now we conclude, that f is invariate under the rotation. (Y_1, Y_2) has the same density function as (X_1, X_2) . Then, (Y_1, Y_2) has exact the same distribution as (X_1, X_2) . Now we are done because X_1, X_2 are independent $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

3 Construction of the BM

We begin with BM running from time 0 to time 1. Let $\Omega = C[0, 1]$ (space of continuous functions $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$). Let d be the supremum metrics $d(f, g) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |f(t) - g(t)|$. Let \mathcal{F} be the σ -algebra determined by this metric.

Wiener measure (distribution of BM) P is a probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) such that.

3.1 Definition

Let $\Omega = C[0, 1]$ with supremum metric

$$d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x) - g(x)|.$$

let \mathcal{B} be the Borel σ -Algebra of this metric. A probability measure P on (Ω, \mathcal{B}) is a **Wiener measure** if

1. $P(f(0) = 0) = 1$

2. for every $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k \leq 1$, the RV

$$f(t_2) - f(t_1), f(t_3) - f(t_2), \dots, f(t_k) - f(t_{k-1})$$

are independent.

3. for $t > s$, $f(t) - f(s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t - s)$

3.2 Theorem

A Wiener measure exists and is unique. Therefore we speak of the Wiener measure.

Terminology: A function distributed according to the Wiener measure is called a Brownian Motion.

Proof of existence: For every dyadic number

$$v = \frac{k}{2^n}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2^n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Let $Z_v \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and assume (Z_v) is an iid. collection living on a prob space $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$.

For each $n = 0, 1, \dots$ we construct a random continuous function $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We define measurable function: $\phi_n : X \rightarrow \Omega$.

$f_0(0) = 0$, $f_0(1) = Z_1$ linearly interpolate between 0 and 1.

Fix $C_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}}$. Given f_{n-1} , we construct f_n as follows:

For every k even, take

$$f_n\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) := f_{n-1}\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right)$$

For every k odd, take

$$f_n\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) := f_{n-1}\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) + \frac{Z_k}{2^n} \cdot C_n$$

For all other values linearly interpolate, i.e. $\frac{k}{2^n} < x \leq \frac{k+1}{2^n}$ then

$$f(x) = 2^n \left[f\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{k+1}{2^n} - x\right) + f\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) \cdot \left(x - \frac{k}{2^n}\right) \right]$$

Construct f_1 :

$$f_0(0), f_0(1) = Z_1, f_0\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{Z_1}{2}$$

$$f_1(0) = 0, f_1(1) = f_1\left(\frac{z}{2^1}\right) = f_0(1) = Z_1,$$

$$f_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = f_1\left(\frac{1}{2^1}\right) = f_0\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - Z_{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot C_1 = \frac{Z_1}{2} + \frac{Z_{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}$$

For all other points, linearly interpolate.

$$f_1(1) = Z_1, \quad f_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{Z_1 + Z_{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow f_1(1) - f_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= \frac{Z_1 - Z_{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}, \quad f_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{Z_1 + Z_{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \\ \Rightarrow f_1(1) - f_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad f_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - f_1(0)\end{aligned}$$

are independent and both are distributed $\mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2})$. ■

3.3 Claim

With prob. 1, the sequence f_n converges uniformly. Let δ be the Limit, f is continuous, f is BM.

[formally: Let $\phi : \Omega \Rightarrow \Omega$ be the function $\phi(w) = \lim \phi_n(w)$. Then the measure μ and Ω defined by

$$\mu(A) = \mathbf{P}(\phi^{-1}(A))$$

is a Wiener measure.]

Proof: For every n , we estimate

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f_n(x) - f_{n-1}(x)| > \frac{1}{n^2}\right)$$

Let $\frac{k}{2^n} < x < \frac{k+1}{2^n}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}f_n(x) - f_{n-1}(x) &= 2^n \left[\left(x - \frac{k}{2^n}\right) \left[f_n\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) - f_{n-1}\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{k+1}{2^n} - x\right) \left[f_n\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) - f_{n-1}\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) \right] \right]\end{aligned}$$

i.e $f_n(x) - f_{n-1}(x)$ is a weighted average of

$$f_n\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) - f_{n-1}\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) \text{ and } f_n\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) - f_{n-1}\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right)$$

So the sup is attend on a point of the type of $\frac{k}{2^n}$.

If k is even then $f_n(\frac{k}{2^n}) = f_{n-1}(\frac{k}{2^n})$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f_n(x) - f_{n-1}(x)| = \max_{k \text{ odd } 0 < k < 2^n} \left| f_n\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) - f_{n-1}\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) \right|$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f_n(x) - f_{n-1}(x)| > \frac{1}{n^2}\right) &= \mathbf{P}\left(\max_{k \text{ odd}} \left| f_n\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) - f_{n-1}\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) \right| > \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k \text{ odd } 0 < k < 2^n} \mathbf{P}\left(\left| f_n\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) - f_{n-1}\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) \right| > \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k \text{ odd } 0 < k < 2^n} \mathbf{P}\left(|Z_{\frac{k}{2^n}}| > \frac{1}{n^2 C_n}\right) \\ &= 2^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{P}\left(|\mathcal{N}(0, 1)| > \frac{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}}{n^2}\right) \\ &\leq 2^{n-1} \cdot C \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{2^{n+1}}{n^4}\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P} \left(d(f_n, f_{n-1}) > \frac{1}{n^2} \right) < \infty \\ &\stackrel{\text{Borel Cantelli}}{\Rightarrow} \text{w.p. } 1, \exists N \text{ s.t. } \forall n > N \quad d(f_n, f_{n-1}) < \frac{1}{n^2} \\ &\Rightarrow \text{w.p. } 1, (f_n) \text{ satisfies Cauchy's condition} \\ &\Rightarrow \text{converges a.s. uniformly} \end{aligned}$$

■

We constructed BM but did not prove that our object is indeed BM.

Reminder:

We took

$$C_n = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2^n}} \text{ for every dyadic number } y \in [0, 1].$$

We also had a variable

$$Z_y \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), (Z_y) \text{ iid.}$$

We defined f_0 as follows: $f_0(0) = 0$ $f_0(i) = Z$, in between linear interpolation. Recursiely we defined f_n ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_n \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right) &:= f_{n-1} \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right) && \text{for } k \text{ even} \\ f_n \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right) &:= f_{n-1} \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right) + c_n Z_{\frac{k}{2^n}} && \text{for } k \text{ odd} \end{aligned}$$

and in between we linearly interpolate. We proved that w.p.1 the sequence f_n converges uniformly and we named the limit f . Obviously f is continious (it is the uniform limit of continious functions).

3.4 Theorem

We need to prove that f is BM (i.e that the diet of f is a Wiener measure) i.e

1. $P(f(0) = 0) = 1$
2. for $t > s$ $f(t) - f(s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t - s)$
3. if $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k$ then $(\delta(t_{l+1}) - \delta(t_l))_{l=1}^{k-1}$ are independent

Proof:

1. trivial

Before we do (2) and (3) we need some discussion. Note that for every n and $0 \leq k \leq 2^n$, $f(\frac{k}{2^n}) = f_n(\frac{k}{2^n})$. Why?

$$f_{n+1} \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right) = f_{n+1} \left(\frac{2k}{2^{n+1}} \right) = f_n \left(\frac{2k}{2^{n+1}} \right) = f_n \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right)$$

similarly,

$$f_n \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right) = f_{n+1} \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right) = f_{n+2} \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right) = f_{n+3} \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right) = \dots \Rightarrow f \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right) = f_n \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right)$$

3.5 Claim

$\left(f_n\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) - f_n\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right)\right)_{k=0}^{2^n-1}$ are i.i.d $\mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2^n})$.

Proof:

We do this by induction on n . Base case $n = 0$: we need to show $f_0(1) - f_0(0) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. This is true. Now assume that this holds for $n - 1$. For ease of notation write $X_{n,k} = f_n\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) - f_n\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right)$. By induction hypothesis,

$$X_{n-1,0}, X_{n-1,1}, \dots, X_{n-1,2^{n-1}-1}$$

are all iid $\mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2^{n-1}})$. Take $k = 0, \dots, 2^{n-1} - 1$. What are $X_{n,2k}$ and $X_{n,2k+1}$?

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n,2k} &= f_n\left(\frac{2k+1}{2^n}\right) - f_n\left(\frac{2k}{2^n}\right) \\ &= f_{n-1}\left(\frac{2k+1}{2^n}\right) + c_n Z_{\frac{2k+1}{2^n}} - f_{n-1}\left(\frac{2k}{2^n}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[f_{n-1}\left(\frac{2k}{2^n}\right) + f_{n-1}\left(\frac{2k+2}{2^n}\right) \right] + c_n Z_{\frac{2k+1}{2^n}} - f_{n-1}\left(\frac{2k}{2^n}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[f_{n-1}\left(\frac{2k+2}{2^n}\right) - f_{n-1}\left(\frac{2k}{2^n}\right) \right] + c_n Z_{\frac{2k+1}{2^n}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[f_{n-1}\left(\frac{k+1}{2^{n-1}}\right) - f_{n-1}\left(\frac{k}{2^{n-1}}\right) \right] + c_n Z_{\frac{2k+1}{2^n}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} X_{n-1,k} + c_n Z_{\frac{2k+1}{2^n}} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $X_{n,2k+1} = \frac{1}{2} X_{n-1,k} - c_n Z_{\frac{2k+1}{2^n}}$.

First Conclusion:

The pairs $(X_{n,2k}, X_{n,2k+1})$ are independent of each other. Why is

$$\underbrace{(X_{n,0}, X_{n,1})}_{\text{determined by } X_{n-1,0} \text{ and } Z_{\frac{1}{2^n}}}$$

independent to

$$\underbrace{(X_{n,2}, X_{n,3})}_{\text{determined by } X_{n-1,1} \text{ and } Z_{\frac{3}{2^n}}} \quad ?$$

by induction hypothesis all those variables are independent \Rightarrow pairs are independent so we need to see that for given k , $X_{n,2k}$ and $X_{n,2k+1}$ are independent and both distributed according to $\mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2^n})$.

Let

$$Y_1 = X_{n-1,k} \cdot \sqrt{2^{n-1}}, \quad Y_2 = Z_{\frac{2k+1}{2^n}} \quad Y_1, Y_2 \text{ are iid } \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

let

$$W_1 = \frac{Y_1 + Y_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad W_2 = \frac{Y_1 - Y_2}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ then } W_1, W_2 \text{ are independent } \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

$$X_{n,2k} = \frac{1}{2}X_{n-1,k} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n-1}}} \cdot Z_{2^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n-1}}}Y_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n-1}}}Y_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}W_1$$

Similarly

$$X_{n,2k+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}W_2$$

so $X_{n,2k}$ and $X_{n,2k+1}$ are independent $\mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2^n})$ and the claim is proved. ■

Now we can prove that f satisfies properties (2) and (3).

2. We need to show that for every

$$t > s \quad f(t) - f(s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t - s)$$

If $k > j$

$$f\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{j}{2^n}\right) = \sum_{k=j}^{k-1} X_{n,k} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{k}{2^n} - \frac{j}{2^n}\right)$$

Let $t > s$ and let $(k_l), (j_l), (n_l)$ be seq. s.t. $\frac{k_l}{2^{n_l}} \rightarrow t$ and $\frac{j_l}{2^{n_l}} \rightarrow s$ by cont. of f .

3. Is done exactly the same (End of prove). ■

3.6 Theorem

Wiener measure is unique.

Proof:

Of fact: $Cov(\delta(t), f(s)) = \min(s, t)$ Why? Assume $t > s$

$$Cov(f(t), f(s)) = Cov(f(s), f(s)) + Cov(f(t) - f(s), f(s)) = Var(f(s)) - 0 = s$$

but furthermore \Rightarrow for every $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k$ distribution of $f(t_1), \dots, f(t_k)$ is MVG w. a known covariance Matrix

$$\underbrace{\Rightarrow}_{\text{Covariance Matrix determines distrib.}}$$

for all wiener measure. $(f(t_1), \dots, f(t_k))$ have same joint distribution \Rightarrow dist. $(f(t) : \text{dyadic})$ is same for all wiener measures by continuity, $(\delta(t) : t \text{ is dyadic})$ determines. ■

3.7 Definition (Def of BM on $[0, \infty)$)

Let $\Omega := C[0, \infty)$ (space of continuous functions $[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$), with the topology of uniform convergence on every bounded interval.

I.e. we say a sequence $f_n \rightarrow f$ is for every M , $f_n \rightarrow f$ on the interval $[0, M]$

$$f_n(x) = \frac{x}{n}, \quad f_n \not\rightarrow f$$

however, for every M , $f_n \rightarrow f$ on $[0, M]$, so $\delta \rightarrow f$ in our topology.

3.8 Definition

Let \mathcal{B} be borel σ -algebra. A measure P on (Ω, \mathcal{B}) is a Wiener measure if

1. $P(f(0)) = 1$

2. for $0 \leq t_1, \dots, < t_n$

$$f(t_2) - f(t_1), f(t_3) - f(t_2), \dots, f(t_n) - f(t_{n-1})$$

are independent.

3. for $0 \leq s < t$, $f(t) - \delta(s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t - s)$

We need to prove existence and uniqueness. Uniqueness is exactly same proof as for $[0, 1]$.

Proof of Existence:

Let $f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \dots, : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be independent BM. Now define for every

$$x \in [0, \infty) \quad n(x) := [x], \quad y(x) := x - [x]$$

then

$$f(x) := f_{n(x)}(y(x)) + \sum_{k=0}^{n(x)-1} f_k(i)$$

If

$$0 \leq x < 1 \Rightarrow f(x) = f^{(0)}(x)$$

If

$$1 \leq x < 2 \Rightarrow f(x) = f^{(1)}(x - 1) + f^{(0)}(1)$$

and so it goes further. ■

Exercise: Check that it is a Wiener measure.

Notation: BM will be denoted $B(t)$ or B_t .

3.9 Theorem

With probability 1, B_t is nowhere differentiable. We prove that with prob. 1, B is non-differentiable for every $0 < t < 1$. From here the theorem follows once we note that B on $[0, \infty)$ is composed of independent copies of BM on $[0, 1]$.

Assume that B is differentiable at a point $t \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists integer M s.t. for every $y \in [0, 1]$

$$\left| \frac{B(y) - B(t)}{y - t} \right| < M.$$

Why? Let $B(t) = k$, then $\exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t if

$$|y - t| < \epsilon \text{ then } \left| \frac{B(y) - B(t)}{y - t} \right| < |k| + 10$$

Furthermore, $\exists K$ s.t $|B(y)| < K$ for every $y \in [0, 1]$. So if $|y - t| \geq \epsilon$ then

$$\left| \frac{B(y) - B(t)}{y - t} \right| \leq \frac{2k}{\epsilon}$$

so if we take

$$M > \max \left(|k| + 10, \frac{2k}{\epsilon} \right)$$

we are done. Therefore, if we show that for every integer M ,

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\exists t \in (0, 1) : \forall y \neq t \in [0, 1] \left| \frac{B(y) - B(t)}{y - t} \right| \leq M \right) = 0$$

we are done. Fix M . Call the event above A_M . We want to show $\mathbf{P}(A_M) = 0$.

Fix n , and consider the intervals

$$I_{n,k} = \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n} \right]$$

for $k = 0, \dots, n-1$. If $t \in I_{n,k}$ ($k \leq n-3$) and satisfies the property that $\forall y \neq t [0, 1]$

$$\left| \frac{B(y) - B(t)}{y - t} \right| < M$$

Then for every

$$y \in I_{n,k} \quad |B(y) - B(t)| \leq M|y - t| \leq M \cdot \frac{1}{n}$$

If $y \in I_{n,k+1}$, then

$$|B(y) - B(t)| \leq M|y - t| \leq \frac{2M}{n}$$

If $y \in I_{n,k+2}$, then

$$|B(y) - B(t)| \leq M|y - t| \leq \frac{3M}{n}$$

So

$$\left| B\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right| \leq \left| B\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - B(t) \right| + \left| B\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - B(t) \right| \leq \frac{2M}{n} \leq \frac{6M}{n}$$

$$\left| B\left(\frac{k+2}{n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) \right| \leq \left| B\left(\frac{k+2}{n}\right) - B(t) \right| + \left| B\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - B(t) \right| \leq \frac{4M}{n} \leq \frac{6M}{n}$$

$$\left| B\left(\frac{k+3}{n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k+2}{n}\right) \right| \leq \dots \leq \frac{6M}{n}$$

$$B\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), B\left(\frac{k+2}{n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right), B\left(\frac{k+3}{n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k+2}{n}\right) \text{ are iid } \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

Let $A_{n,k}$ be the event $\exists t \in \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}\right]$ s.t $\forall y \neq t$

$$\left| \frac{B(y) - B(t)}{y - t} \right| \leq M$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(A_{n,k}) &\leq \mathbf{P}\left(B\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \leq \frac{6M}{n}; \left|B\left(\frac{k+2}{n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{6M}{n}; \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left|B\left(\frac{k+3}{n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k+2}{n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{6M}{n}\right) \\
&= \mathbf{P}\left(\left|B\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{6M}{n}\right)^3 \leq \left(\frac{C_1(M)}{\sqrt{(n)}}\right)^3 = \frac{C_2(M)}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}}
\end{aligned}$$

Fix m and let $A_M^m = \{\exists t \in (0, 1 - \frac{1}{m}) \text{ s.t. } \dots\}$ take n so large that

$$1 - \frac{1}{n} > 1 - \frac{1}{m}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
A_M^m \subseteq \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-3} A_{n,k} &\Rightarrow \mathbf{P}(A_M^m) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \mathbf{P}(A_{n,k}) \leq \frac{C_2(M)}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \cdot n = \frac{C_2(M)}{\sqrt{n}} \\
&\Rightarrow \mathbf{P}(A_M^m) = 0 \\
A_M &= \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_M^m \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}(A_M) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(A_M^m) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}(A_M) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

■

4 Filtrations and martingales

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be a prob. space, and let $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be σ -algebras, s.t

1. $\mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}$
2. for $t > s$, $\mathcal{F}_s \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t$

4.1 Definition

A **filtration** on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ is a family $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ σ -algebras s.t

$$\mathcal{F}_s \subset \mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{F} \quad s < t$$

4.2 Definition

A filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is *continuous from the right at t_0* if

$$\mathcal{F}_{t_0} = \bigcap_{t > t_0} \mathcal{F}_t$$

It is *continuous from the left at t_0* if

$$\mathcal{F}_{t_0} = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_t, t < t_0)$$

It is *almost continuous from the right (or left)* if the σ -algebras only differ by null sets.

4.3 Definition

Two stochastic processes $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ are said to be **independent** if $\forall 0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n$ and $0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_k$

$$(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n}) \perp (Y_{s_1}, \dots, Y_{s_k})$$

- (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) + filtration is called a **filtered probability space**
- $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is **adapted** to $(F(t))_{t \geq 0}$ if X_t is measurable w.r.t $F(t)$

4.4 Theorem

Let $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Brownian Motion (BM) started in $x \in \mathbb{R}$ (or \mathbb{R}^d), then $\forall s \geq 0$

$$(B_{t+s} - B_s)_{t \geq 0}$$

is BM started in 0 and independent of $(B_t)_{t \in [0, s]}$.

4.5 Definition

The **natural filtration** associated to a BM $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is

$$F^\circ(t) = \sigma((B_s)_{s \in [0, t]})$$

Interpretation: $F^\circ(t)$ contains all information of 'what happened' up to time t .

Problem: $(F^\circ(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is not right-continuous.

Exercise:

The RV

$$L = \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{B(t)}{\sqrt{2t \log |\log(t)|}}$$

is measurable w.r.t

$$\bigcap_{s > 0} F^\circ(s)$$

but is not w.r.t $F^\circ(0)$.

Solution:

Take the filtration $F^+(t) = \bigcap_{s > t} F^\circ(s)$.

Homework: Prove that $(F^+(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is right-cont.

Observation:

F° and F^+ are 'almost the same': all events in $F^+(t)$ but not in $F^\circ(t)$ are trivial.

$(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is adapted to F° and F^+ .

4.6 Theorem

$\forall s > 0$ the process $(B(t+s) - B(s))_{t \geq 0}$ is independent of $F^*(s)$.

Proof:

By continuity of BM:

$$B(t + s) - B(s) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B(t + s_m) - B(s_m) \quad s_m \searrow s$$

Then $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_m$

$$\begin{aligned} & (B(t_1 + s) - B(s_m), \dots, B(t_m + s) - B(s_m)) \\ = & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{(B(t_1 + s_m) - B(s_m), \dots, B(t_m + s_m) - B(s_m))}_{\text{independent } F^+(s)} \\ & \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{indep. } F^+(s)} \end{aligned}$$

■

$(B(t + s))_{t \geq 0}$ conditioned on $F^+(s)$ is a BM started at point $B(s)$

4.7 Theorem (Blumenthal 0-1 law)

If $A \in F^+(0)$, then $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$.

Proof:

By Theorem 1.4.7, $A \in \sigma((B_t)_{t \geq 0})$ is independent of $F^+(0)$. In particular, since $A \in F^+(0)$, A is independent of itself. Therefore $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$.

(Hint: $P(A) = P(A \cap A) = P(A)P(A) = P(A)^2$)

■

Homework:

$$\tau_1 = \inf\{t > 0 : B(t) > 0\}$$

$$\tau_2 = \inf\{t > 0 : B(t) = 0\}$$

Prove that $P(\tau_1 = 0) = 1$ $P(\tau_2 = 0) = 1$.

4.8 Proposition

$x \in \mathbb{R}$, A a tail event i.e.

$$A \in \tau = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \sigma((B(s))_{s \geq t})$$

Then $P_x(A) \in \{0, 1\}$.

Proof:

(sketch) Blumenthal + time inversion.

4.9 Definition

A real-valued stochastic process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale with respect to a filtration $(F(t))_{t \geq 0}$ if

- $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is adapted to $(F(t))_{t \geq 0}$
- $E(|X_t|) < \infty \quad \forall t \geq 0$
- $\forall 0 \leq s \leq t$

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_t | F(s)) &= X_s && \text{a.s.} \\ E(X_t | F(s)) &\leq X_s && \text{a.s.} \quad \text{supermartingale} \\ E(X_t | F(s)) &\geq X_s && \text{a.s.} \quad \text{submartingale} \end{aligned}$$

Interpretation: (X_t) does not grow or decrease in mean.

5 Stopping Times

Is the Markov Property time if we restart the process at random times?

5.1 Definition

A random variable $T \in [0, \infty]$ on a filtrated probability space is a **stopping time** w.r.t. $(F(t))_{t \geq 0}$ if

$$\{T \leq t\} \in F(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

- Every stopping time w.r.t. $(F^\circ(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a stopping time w.r.t. $(F^+(t))_{t \geq 0}$
- If $(F(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is right continuous it suffices

$$\{T < t\} \in F(t) \quad t \geq 0$$

Why? Homework.

Examples:

- Any deterministic time t is a stopping time.
- The first time a BM $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ hits some closed set C w.r.t. $(F^\circ(t))_{t \geq 0}$. (f.e. $C = \{1\}$)
Why?

$$\{T \leq t\} = \underbrace{\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, t)} \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap C} \left\{ B(s) \in \text{Ball} \left(x, \frac{1}{m} \right) \right\}}_{\in F^\circ(t)}$$

- The first time $(B(t))_{t \geq 0}$ hits an open set O is a stopping time w.r.t. $(F^+(t))_{t \geq 0}$ but not w.r.t. $(F^\circ(t))_{t \geq 0}$.

- First time t s.t.

$$\max_{s \in [0, t]} B(s) - \min_{s \in [0, t]} B(s) = 3$$

5.2 Theorem

For every a.s. finite stopping time T , the process $(B(T + t) - B(T))_{t \geq 0}$ is a BM independent of $F^+(T)$ where

$$F^+(t) = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : A \cap \{T \leq t\} \in F^+(t)\}$$

Example:

$$- T = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B(t) = 1\}$$

5.3 Theorem (Strong Markov property)

Let T be an a.s. finite stopping time for the natural filtration (F_t^+) . Then the process

$$(B(T + t) - B(T))_t$$

is a Brownian Motion and is independent of

$$F_T^+ := \{A \subseteq \mathcal{F} : \forall t A \cap \{T \leq t\} \in F_t^+\}$$

Exercise: Play with this definition ;)

Example:

1.

$$T := \inf_t B(t) = 1$$

$$A_1 = \{\forall t < T \text{ s.t. } B(t) = -1\}$$

$$A_2 = \{\text{for every } T < s < T + 1, B(s) \neq 0\}$$

2.

$$T = \inf_t |B(t)| = 1 \quad A_1 = \{B(T) = 1\}$$

Proof of Strong Markov Property:

First assume T is a dyadic stopping time, i.e. $\exists n$ s.t with prob. 1 $T = \frac{k}{2^n}$ for some k .

Let A_k be the event $\{T = \frac{k}{2^n}\}$. Then $\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k = \Omega$ (up to a null event).

A_k 's are pairwise disjoint. Choose k

$$\left(B\left(\frac{k}{2^n} + t\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) \right)_t$$

is a BM independent of $F_{\frac{k}{2^n}}^+$. For every

$$A \subseteq F_T^+, \left(B\left(\frac{k}{2^n} + t\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) \right)$$

is a BM independent of the event $A \cap A_k$ which is in $F_{\frac{k}{2^n}}^+$.

Therefore, for every k

$$\left(B\left(\frac{k}{2^n} + t\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) \right)_t$$

is a BM independent of $A \cap A_k$

For every A , conditioned on $A_k \cap A$, $(B(T+t) - B(T))_t$ is a BM. Now, note that A is a joint union of the events $(A \cap A_k)_{k=1}^\infty$.

Because of that, conditioned on A , $(B(T+t) - B(t))_t$ is a BM, and since this holds for every $A \in F_T^+$ we get that $(B(T+t) - B(T))_t$ is BM independent of F_T^+ .

Let T be a stopping time. For every n , define

$$T_n := 2^{-n} \lceil 2^n T \rceil$$

(i.e the closest n -dyadic number from above). T_n is a stopping time, $T_n \geq T$ and $T_n - T \leq 2^{-n}$.

$$F \subseteq F_{T_n}^+$$

(Homework exercise) \Rightarrow for every n ,

$$(B(T_n + t) - B(T_n))_t$$

is BM independent of F_T^+ .

$$(B(T+t) - B(T))_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (B(T_n + t) - B(T_n))_t$$

a.s convergence uniformly on every bounded interval.

$\Rightarrow (B(T+t) - B(T))_t$ is BM independent of F_T^+ . ■

Question: What is the distribution of $\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B(t)$?

More precisely, for given γ , what is $\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B(t) > \gamma\right)$?

Let $A_\gamma = \{\exists 0 \leq t \leq 1 B(t) = \gamma\}$

$$\mathbb{P}(B(1) > \gamma | A_\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathbb{P}(B(1) > \gamma | A_\gamma^c) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(A_\gamma) = 2\mathbb{P}(B(1) > \gamma)$

5.4 Theorem (Optional sampling theorem)

Let (M_t) be a continuous martingale, and let $S \leq T$ be stopping times, all w.r.t same filtration (F_t) . Then

$$E(M_T | F_S) = M_S$$

if (at least) one of the following conditions is satisfied:

1. T is bdd i.e $\exists M$ s.t $P(T \leq N) = 1$
2. T is a.s finite and (M_t) uniformly integrable.
3. $E(T) < \infty$ and $\exists M$ s.t $\forall t E(|M_{t+1} - M_t| | F_t) < M$

Application: Reflection principle.

5.5 Theorem

Let $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a BM. Then $\forall y > 0$

$$P\left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B(t) > y\right) = 2P(B_1 > y)$$

Proof:

We start with the following fact: Let $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a BM, and let S be a random variable s.t. S is independent of $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$, and $P(0 < S < \infty) = 1$. Then $P(W_S > 0) = \frac{1}{2}$ (Exercise).

Now, let $T = \min\{t : B_t \geq y\}$, and let $A = \{T < 1\}$.

5.6 Claim

$$P(A) = P\left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B_t \geq y\right)$$

On the event A , let $W_t := (B_{T+t} - B_T)$, and let $S = 1 - T$. Then $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a BM independent of F_T (strong Markov Property) and S is measurable w.r.t. F_T .

$\Rightarrow S$ is independent of $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$, and therefore $P(W_S) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Now on the event A ,

$$B_1 - y = B_1 - B_T = W_{1-T} = W_S$$

$\Rightarrow B_1 > y$ if and only if $W_S > 0$ Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P(B_1 > y | A) &= P(W_S > 0 | A) = \frac{1}{2} \\ P(B_1 > y | A^c) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow P(B_1 > y) &= \frac{1}{2}P(A) = \frac{1}{2}P\left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B_t > y\right) \end{aligned}$$

■

4 Lemmas from Discrete Probability:

5.7 Lemma

Let (X_n) be a sequence of random variables and let X be a RV. Assume:

$$(i) X_n \xrightarrow{a.s} X$$

(ii) (X_n) is unit. integrable (UI)

Then

$$X_n \xrightarrow{\text{in } L'} X$$

5.8 Lemma

Let $(F_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be σ -algebras. Let X a RV such that $E(|X|) < \infty$ and for every n let

$$X_n := E(X|F_n)$$

Then $(X_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is UI.

Proof:

Reminder: We say that $(X_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is UI. If for every $\epsilon \exists M$ s.t $\forall n$:

$$E(|X_n|1_{|X_n|>M}) < \epsilon$$

Let $L = E(|X|)$. Let $Y_n = E(|X||F_n)$. Note that $Y_n \geq |X_n|$ a.s (Jensen). Fix ϵ and let M be so large that

$$E(|X| 1_{|X|>M\epsilon}) < \epsilon$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} E(|X_n|1_{|X_n|>M}) &\leq E(Y_n 1_{Y_n>M}) = E(|X|1_{Y_n>M}) \\ &= E(X \cdot 1_{Y_n>M} \cdot 1_{|X|>\epsilon M}) + E(|X| \cdot 1_{Y_n>M} \cdot 1_{|X|\leq\epsilon M}) \\ &\leq E(|X| \cdot 1_{|X|>\epsilon M}) + \epsilon \cdot M \cdot P(Y_n > M) \\ &\leq \epsilon + \epsilon M \cdot \frac{E(Y_n)}{M} \\ &= \epsilon \cdot (1 + L) \end{aligned}$$

■

5.9 Lemma

Let $(X_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ UI. Then $\forall \epsilon \exists \delta$ s.t $\forall n$ and every event A s.t

$$P(A) < \delta \quad E(|X_n| \cdot \mathbf{1}_A) < \epsilon$$

Proof:

Let $(X_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be UI. Fix ϵ . Let M be s.t. $\forall n$:

$$E(|X_n| \cdot \mathbf{1}_{|X_n|>M}) < \epsilon.$$

Take δ so small s.t. $\delta \cdot M < \epsilon$. Fix n . Let A be an event s.t. $P(A) < \delta$, and let $A_1 = A \cap \{|X_n| \leq M\}$ and $A_2 = A \cap \{|X_n| > M\}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} E(|X_n| \cdot \mathbf{1}_A) &= E(|X_n| \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A_1}) + E(|X_n| \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A_2}) \\ &\leq P(A_1) \cdot M + E(|X_n| \cdot \mathbf{1}_{|X_n|>M}) \\ &\leq P(A) \cdot M + E(|X_n| \cdot \mathbf{1}_{|X_n|>M}) \\ &< \delta M + \epsilon < 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

■

5.10 Lemma

Let $(M(t))_{t=0}$ be a continuous martingale, and let T be a stopping time, both w.r.t same Filtration (F_t) . Let $(Y(t))_{t>0}$ be stopped martingale $(Y(t) = M(t \wedge T))_{t>0}$. Then $(Y(t))$ is a martingale.

Proof:

For every $n = 1, 2, \dots$ let

$$T_n = 2^{-n} \lceil 2^n T \rceil$$

the n -th dyadic approximation of T . Then T_n is a stopping time, $T_n \geq T$ and $T_n - T \leq 2^{-n}$. In particular, a.s $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n = T$. Let $Y_n(t) = M(t \wedge T_n)$. We first show (as in Probability Theory course) that Y_n is a martingale. We do this in two steps:

(1) We show that for every $k = 0, 1, \dots$

$$E \left(Y_n \left(\frac{k+1}{2^n} \right) \middle| F_{\frac{k}{2^n}} \right) = Y_n \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E \left(Y_n \left(\frac{k+1}{2^n} \right) \middle| F_{\frac{k}{2^n}} \right) &= E \left(Y_n \left(\frac{k+1}{2^n} \right) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{T_n \geq \frac{k+1}{2^n}} \middle| F_{\frac{k}{2^n}} \right) + E \left(Y_n \left(\frac{k+1}{2^n} \right) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{T_n \leq \frac{k}{2^n}} \middle| F_{\frac{k}{2^n}} \right) \\ &= E \left(M \left(\frac{k+1}{2^n} \right) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{T_n \geq \frac{k+1}{2^n}} \middle| F_{\frac{k}{2^n}} \right) + E \left(M_{T_n} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{T_n \leq \frac{k}{2^n}} \middle| F_{\frac{k}{2^n}} \right) \\ &= M \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{T_n \geq \frac{k+1}{2^n}} + M(T_n) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{T_n \leq \frac{k}{2^n}} \\ &= Y_n \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow for $k > j$

$$E \left(Y_n \left(\frac{k}{2^n} \right) \middle| F_{\frac{j}{2^n}} \right) = Y_n \left(\frac{j}{2^n} \right)$$

(2) Let $t > s$. We want to show

$$E \left(Y_n(t) \middle| F_s \right) = Y_n(s)$$

Exercise!

Now fix $s < t$ we want to prove

$$E \left(Y(t) \middle| F_s \right) = Y(s)$$

We need two statements:

- (i) $Y_n(t) \xrightarrow{a.s} Y(t)$ and $Y_n(s) \xrightarrow{a.s} Y(s)$.
- (ii) $(Y_n(t))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is UI.

Assume that the statements hold. Then first $Y_n(t) \xrightarrow{L} Y(t)$ and second $Y_n(s) \xrightarrow{L \text{ a.s.}} Y(s)$.

$$\stackrel{(i)}{\rightarrow} E(Y(t)|F_s) \stackrel{L}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(Y_n(t)|F_s) \stackrel{L}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Y_n(s)) = Y(s)$$

Proof of statements:

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n(t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(t \wedge T_n) \\ &= M(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t \wedge T_n) \\ &= M(t \wedge \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n) \\ &= M(t \wedge T) = Y(t) \end{aligned}$$

all limits are a.s limits, because $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} T$.

(ii) We will show that

$$Y_n(t) = E(Y_1(t)|\mathcal{F}_{t \wedge T_n}).$$

Then it will follow from Lemma 5.8.

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_1(t)|F_{T_n \wedge t}) &= E(M_{t \wedge T_1}|F_{T_n \wedge t}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{T_n = \frac{k}{2^n}} E(M_{t \wedge T_n}|F_{T_n \wedge t}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{T_n = \frac{k}{2^n}; t \leq T_n} E(M_{t \wedge T_n}|F_{T_n \wedge t}) + \mathbf{1}_{T_n = \frac{k}{2^n}; t > T_n} E(M_{t \wedge T_n}|F_{T_n \wedge t}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{T_n = \frac{k}{2^n}; t \leq T_n} E(M_t \cdot \mathbf{1}_{t \leq T_n}|F_t) + \mathbf{1}_{T_n = \frac{k}{2^n}; t > T_n} E(\underbrace{M_{t \wedge T} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{t > T_n}}_{M_{\frac{k}{2^n}}}|F_{\frac{k}{2^n}}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{T_n = \frac{k}{2^n}; t \leq T_n} M_{t \wedge T_n} + \mathbf{1}_{T_n = \frac{k}{2^n}; t > T_n} M_{T_n \wedge t} \\ &= Y_n(t) \end{aligned}$$

■

5.11 Lemma

Let $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a continuous Martingale and let S be a stopping time, both w.r.t (F_t) . Assume further:

1. $P(S < \infty) = 1$
2. $\forall t, E(|M_{s+t}|) < \infty$

Then $(Y_t := M_{s+t})$ is a Martingale w.r.t $(G_t = F_{t+s})_{t > 0}$

Proof:

1. Y_t means w.r.t G_t - obvious.
 2. $E(|Y_t|) < \infty$ from assumption
 3. $E(|Y_t|G_s) = Y_s$ for $s < t$. We will do it in two steps:
 - (a) If S is dyadic (i.e $\exists n$ s.t. w.p.1 $S \cdot 2^n \in \mathbb{N}$).
 - (b) From dyadic to general stopping times using approximation $S_n = 2^{-n} \lceil 2^n S \rceil$ (this we will skip).
- (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(Y_t | G_s) &= E(M_{S+s} | F_{S+s}) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{S=\frac{k}{2^n}} E(M_{S+t} | F_{S+s}) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{S=\frac{k}{2^n}} E\left(M_{\frac{k}{2^n}+t} \middle| F_{\frac{k}{2^n}+t}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{S=\frac{k}{2^n}} \cdot M_{\frac{k}{2^n}+s} \\
 &= M_{S+s} \\
 &= Y_s
 \end{aligned}$$

5.12 Theorem (Optional stopping theorem)

Let (M_t) be a continuous Martingale, and let $S < T$ be finite stopping times, all w.r.t (F_t) . Then

$$E(M_T | F_S) = M_S \text{ if } (M_{T \wedge L})_{L>0} \text{ is UI}$$

In particular this happens if one of the following conditions holds:

1. $\exists M$ s.t $P(T < M) = 1$
2. $(M_t)_{t>0}$ is U.I
3. $E(T) < \infty$ and $\exists M$ s.t $\forall t E(|M_{t+1} - M_t| F_t) < M$

Sketch of proof of OST

1. Let $N_t = M_{s+t}$. We need to show (N_t) is a Martingale. To do this it suffices to show that $E(|M_{s+t}|) < \infty$, for every t . For this we follow the same method as before:
 - (a) For S dyadic decompose according to the value of S . Show that it goes through as $S_n \rightarrow S$, $S_n = 2^{-n} \lceil 2^n S \rceil$, by UI.

(b) Let $T = T - S$. Then $M_T = N_\tau$, τ is stopping time and $(N_{T \wedge L})_{L>0}$ is UI (exercise: verify). Now, let

$$Y^{(L)}(t) = N_{t \wedge \tau \wedge L}$$

$Y^{(L)}(t)$ is a Martingale, $Y^{(L)}(L) = N_{\tau \wedge L}$ so

$$E\left(Y^{(L)} \middle| G_o\right) = Y^{(L)}(0) = Y(0) = M_S.$$

Note that $Y^{(L)}(\tau) \xrightarrow[\text{a.s.}]{\widehat{}} N_\tau$ and due to UI also in L' .

$$\Rightarrow E\left(N_\tau \middle| F - 0\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(Y^{(L)}(\tau) \middle| G_o\right) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} E\left(Y^{(L)}(L) \middle| G_o\right) = N_o = M_s$$

Now we need to show that each of the conditions (1)-(3) yields UI of $(M_{T \wedge L})_{L>0}$. We postpone this proof.

6 Quadratic variation

6.1 Definition

Let $[a, b]$ be an interval. A **partition** Π of $[a, b]$ is a finite set

$$\Pi = \{t_0 = a < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n = b\}$$

We also need the operators

$$\text{len}(\Pi) = |\Pi| - 1 \quad \text{mesh}(\Pi) = \max_{k=0, \dots, \text{len}(\Pi)-1} t_{k+1} - t_k$$

A partition Π is a **refinement** of M_2 if $M_1 \geq M_2$.

A **refining sequence of partitions** is a sequence of partitions

$$(i) \quad (\Pi_n)_{n=1}^\infty \text{ s.t. } \Pi_{n+1} \geq \Pi_n \quad \forall n$$

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{mesh}(\Pi_n) = 0$$

6.2 Definition

$f : (0, t) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of **bounded variation** if

$$\sup_{\Pi = \{t_0, \dots, t_n\}} \sum_{k=0}^{\text{len}(\Pi)} |f(t_{k+1}) - f(t_k)| < \infty$$

6.3 Definition

Let $(M_t)_{t>0}$ be a stochastic process and let (X_t) be an other process. We say that (X_t) is the **quadratic variation** of (M_t) if for every t and for every refining sequence of partitions (Π_n) of $[0, t]$ we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\text{len}(\Pi)} \left(M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k}\right)^2 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} X_t \quad \text{a.s.}$$

6.4 Fact

Let (M_t) be deterministic, continuous with bounded variation. The quadratic variation of (M_t) is zero.

Proof:

(M_t) is continuous, therefore it is uniformly continuous because we are on a compact interval. Because of that there exists for every ε a δ s.t. if $mesh(\Pi_n) < \delta$ then for all ε it holds

$$|M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k}| < \varepsilon$$

For such n

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{len(\Pi_n)} (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k})^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^{len(\Pi_n)} |M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k}| |M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k}| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{len(\Pi_n)} |M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k}| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \cdot variation(M_t) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

■

Exercise:

Prove directly that the BM has infinite variation.

6.5 Theorem

The quadratic variation of BM is $(X_t = t)$.

Proof:

We will show that the QV of BM in $[0, 1]$ is 1. Generalization to general $t \rightarrow$ exercise.

Let (Π_n) be a refining sequence of partitions of $[0, 1]$. Assume w.l.o.g. (without loss of generality) that $len(\Pi_n) = n$. Let

$$X_n = \sum_{k=0}^{len(\Pi_n)-1} (B(t_{k+1}) - B(t_k))^2$$

We need to prove $X_n \rightarrow 1$ a.s.. We do this in two steps:

1. Prove that (X_n) converges a.s..
2. Identify the limit and verify that it is 1.

1. Notation $\Pi_n = \{0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \dots < t_n^n = 1\}$. Let

$$G_n := \sigma \left(|B_{t_{k+1}^m} - B_{t_k^m}| : m \geq n, 0 \leq k \leq m-1 \right)$$

G_n is an inverse filtration i.e. $G_n \geq G_{n+1} \forall n$. We need to show:

- (i) $E(|X_n|) < \infty$
- (ii) X_n is measurable w.r.t. G_n .

(iii) $E(X_n|G_{n+1}) = X_{n+1}$

So

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E \left[(B(t_{k+1}) - B(t_k))^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t_{k+1} - t_k = 1 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) This fact is obvious.

(iii) Remember Π_{n+1} was obtained by adding exactly one point to the partition Π_n . Let k be s.t. $t_k^n = t_k^{n+1}$ but $t_{k+1}^n > t_{k+1}^{n+1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= (B_{t_1^n} - B_{t_0^n})^2 + (B_{t_2^n} - B_{t_1^n})^2 + \cdots + (B_{t_{k+1}^n} - B_{t_k^n})^2 + \cdots + (\dots)^2 \\ X_{n+1} &= (B_{t_1^n} - B_{t_0^n})^2 + (B_{t_2^n} - B_{t_1^n})^2 + \cdots + (B_{t_{k+1}^{n+1}} - B_{t_k^n})^2 + (B_{t_{k+1}^n} - B_{t_{k+1}^{n+1}})^2 \\ &\quad + \cdots + (\dots)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, all we need to show is that

$$E \left[\left(\underbrace{B_{t_{k+1}^n} - B_{t_k^n}}_{:=\alpha+\beta} \right)^2 \middle| G_{n+1} \right] = \left(\underbrace{B_{t_{k+1}^{n+1}} - B_{t_k^n}}_{:=\alpha} \right)^2 + \left(\underbrace{B_{t_{k+1}^n} - B_{t_{k+1}^{n+1}}}_{:=\beta} \right)^2$$

$|\alpha|$ is G_{n+1} -measurable, $|\beta|$ is G_{n+1} -measurable. However, conditioned on G_{n+1} with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ $\alpha \cdot \beta > 0$ and with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ $\alpha \cdot \beta < 0$. Furthermore with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ $\alpha \cdot \beta = |\alpha| \cdot |\beta|$ and with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ $\alpha \cdot \beta = -|\alpha| \cdot |\beta|$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} E \left((\alpha + \beta)^2 | G_{n+1} \right) &= E \left(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 2\alpha\beta | G_{n+1} \right) \\ &= \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 2E \left(\alpha\beta | G_{n+1} \right) \\ &= \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} |\alpha| |\beta| + \frac{1}{2} (-|\alpha| |\beta|) \right) \\ &= \alpha^2 + \beta^2 \end{aligned}$$

So X_n is an inverse martingale, so (X_n) converges a.s..

2. As exercise: $Var(X_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{\text{in probability}} 1$ as it converges in probability to 1 and a.s. to something, the a.s. limit is 1. ■

7 Ito's Integral w.r.t Döblin

7.1 Definition

A function $f : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called **progressively measurable** if

1. f is measurable w.r.t $F \times B$, F being the standard filtration of Ω , B Borel- σ -algebra of $[0, \infty)$, and
2. $\sigma(f(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t) \subset F_t = \sigma(B(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t)$

7.2 Definition

A random function $(f(t))_{t>0}$ is called a **progressively measurable step function (PMSF)** if:

- (i) For every t $\sigma(f(s), s \leq t) \subset F_t$ (F_t natural filtration of a BM).
- (ii) There exist random numbers $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$ s.t. f is constant on $[0, t_1)$, $[t_1, t_2)$, \dots , $[t_{n-1}, t_n)$ and f is zero on $[t_n, \infty)$.
- (iii)

$$E \left(\int_0^\infty f^2(t) dt \right) < \infty$$

7.3 Definition

The Ito-integral for progressively measurable step function is defined as

$$\int_0^\infty f dB = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(t_k) (B_{t_{k+1}} - B_{t_k})$$

Let f be a PMSF. We write

$$\int_0^\infty f dB_t := \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_{k-1}) [B(t_k) - B(t_{k-1})]$$

Exercise: Show that the integral is determined by the function (same value for different representations of the sequence).

7.4 Fact

1. The space of PMSF is a linear subspace (not closed of V ; V is a Hilbert space).
2. Let $I(f) := \int_0^\infty f dB_t$, then I is a linear transformation.
3. I is an isometry from PMSF to $L^2(\Omega)$ i.e

$$E(I(f)^2) = E\left(\int_0^\infty f^2(t) dt\right)$$

4. The space of PMSF is dense in V .

Proof of facts:

1. obvious

2.

$$I(\alpha \cdot f) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha \cdot f(t_{k-1}) [B(t_k) - B(t_{k-1})] = \alpha \sum$$

if f_1 and f_2 are PMSF, we can find $0 = t_0 < t_1 \dots < t_n$ which represent f_1, f_2 and $f_1 + f_2$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} I(f_1 + f_2) &= \sum_{k=1}^n [f_1(t_{k-1}) + f_2(t_{k-1})] [B(t_k) - B(t_{k-1})] \\ &= \sum f_1(t_{k-1})[\dots] + \sum f_2(t_{k-1}) [B_B] \\ &= I(f_1) + I(f_2) \end{aligned}$$

3. Let f be a PMSF w.r.t $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$. $f(t_{k-1})$ is measurable w.r.t $F_{t_{k-1}} \Rightarrow f(t_k)$ is independent of $B(t_k) - B(t_{k-1})$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow E(f^2(t_{k-1})) \cdot [(B(t_k) - B(t_{k-1}))^2] &= E(f^2(t_{k-1})) E[(B(t_k) - B(t_{k-1}))^2] \\ &= (t_k - t_{k-1}) E(f^2(t_{k-1})). \end{aligned}$$

let $j > k$

$$E \left(\underbrace{f(t_{k-1}) [B(t_k) - B(t_{k-1})] \cdot f(t_{j-1}) \cdot [B(t_j) - B(t_{j-1})]}_{\text{measurable w.r.t } F_{t_{j-1}}} \right) = E(B(t_j) - B(t_{j-1})) \cdot E(\dots) = 0$$

Write $b_k = B(t_k) - B(t_{k-1})$

$$\begin{aligned} E(I(f)^2) &= E \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n f(t_{k-1}) \cdot b_k \right)^2 \right] \\ &= E \left[\sum_{k,j=1}^n f(t_{k-1}) f(t_{j-1}) b_k b_j \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n E(f^2(t_{k-1}) b_k^2) + 2 \sum_{j>k} E(f(t_{k-1}) b_k f(t_{j-1}) b_j) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n E(f^2(t_{k-1})) [t_k - t_{k-1}] \\ &= E \left(\sum_{k=1}^n f^2(t_{k-1}) [t_k - t_{k-1}] \right) \\ &= E \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} f^2(t) dt + \int_{t_n}^{\infty} f^2(t) dt \right) \\ &= E \left(\int_0^{\infty} f^2(t) dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

4. Let $U = \{f \in V : \exists M \text{ s.t. } f(t) = 0 \forall t > M\}$.

7.5 Claim

U is dense V .

Proof:

Let $f \in V$

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} E \left(\int_0^\infty f^2(t) \mathbf{1}_{t > M} dt \right) = 0$$

$$f^{(M)} := f(t) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{t \leq M} \in U \quad \|f - f^{(M)}\|_2^2 = E \left(\int_0^\infty f^2(t) \mathbf{1}_{t > M} dt \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } M \rightarrow \infty$$

So every f in V can be approx. by f_M in U .

7.6 Claim

$PMSF$ is dense in U .

Proof:

Let $f \in U$, $M < t$ $f(t) = 0$ for $t > M$ Take $f^{(n)}$ as follows: for every t take

$$a_n(t) = 2^{-n} \lfloor 2^n \cdot t \rfloor, \quad b_n(t) = 2^{-n} \lceil 2^n \cdot t \rceil, \quad f^{(n)}(t) = 2^n \int_{a_n(t)}^{b_n(t)} f(s) ds$$

$f^{(n)}$ is PMSF $\forall n$, $(f^{(n)})$ is an L^2 martingale converging to f .

Now we define $I(f)$ for $f \in V$. $\exists (f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of PMSF converging in L^2 to f .

(f_n) converges $\rightarrow (f_n)$ is a cauchy sequence

$$\rightarrow \forall \epsilon \exists N \text{ s.t. } \forall m > N, E \left(\int_0^\infty (f_m - f_N)^2 dt \right) < \epsilon$$

$$\underbrace{\rightarrow}_{I \text{ is isometry}} \quad \forall \epsilon \exists N \forall m > N E \left[(I(f_m) - I(f_N))^2 \right] < \epsilon$$

I is isometry

$$\rightarrow I(f_n) \quad \underbrace{\Rightarrow}_{\text{is a cauchy sequence in } L^2(\Omega)} \quad I(f_n) \text{ converges to some limit } X.$$

is a cauchy sequence in $L^2(\Omega)$

Define $\int_0^\infty f dB_t := X$.

■

Exercise: (i) Show that X does not depend on choice of sequence (ii) isometry

7.7 Theorem

Let $f \in V$. There exists a progressive measurable function F s.t.:

1. F is a.s. continuous.
2. F is a martingale.
3. for every T , with probability 1

$$F(T) = \int_0^\infty f(t) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{t < T} dB_t$$

4. F is unique up to probability 0.

8 Ito's formula

We start with Ito's formula for the independent one-dimensional systems.

8.1 Theorem

Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and assume g is continuously twice differentiable and

$$E \left[\int_0^T [g'(B_s)]^2 ds \right] < \infty$$

and

$$P \left(\int_0^T |g''(B_s)| ds < \infty \right) = 1$$

for some $T > 0$. Then for every t ,

$$g(B(t)) - g(0) = \int_0^t g'(B(s)) dB(s) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g''(B(s)) ds$$

Note: if $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has bounded variation, then

$$g(f(t)) - g(f(0)) = \int_0^t g'(f(s)) df(s) \text{ [standard chain rule]}$$

Proof of Ito's formula:

Analog assume $t = 1$. Fix n , and let

$$S_k^{(n)} = \frac{k}{2^n} \text{ while } h(s) = g(B(s))$$

We want to understand $h(1) - h(0)$. We write

$$h(1) - h(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} h(S_{k+1}^{(n)}) - h(S_k^{(n)})$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(S_{k+1}^{(n)}) - h(S_k^{(n)}) &= g(B(S_{k+1}^{(n)})) - g(B(S_k^{(n)})) \\ &= g'(S_k^{(n)}) [B(S_{k+1}^{(n)}) - B(S_k^{(n)})] + \frac{1}{2} g''(\dots) [B(S_{k+1}^{(n)}) - B(S_k^{(n)})] \end{aligned}$$

for some $s \in [B(S_k^{(n)}), B(S_{k+1}^{(n)})]$.

$$g(B(1)) - g(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} g'(B(S_k^{(n)})) [B(S_{k+1}^{(n)}) - B(S_k^{(n)})] \quad (1)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} g''(s) [B(S_{k+1}^{(n)}) - B(S_k^{(n)})]^2 \quad (2)$$

Now we need to show

1. (1) $\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 g'(B(s)) dB(s)$
2. (2) $\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 g''(B(s)) ds$

1. Let $h^{(n)}(s) = g'(B(2^n \lfloor 2^n s \rfloor))$. By continuity of g' and of B ,

$$\begin{aligned} h^{(n)}(s) &\rightarrow g'(B(s)) \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} g'(B(S_k^{(n+1)} [B(S_{k+1}^{(n)}) B(S_k^{(n)})])) \\ &= \int_0^1 h^{(n)}(s) dB(s) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 g'(B(s)) dB(s) \end{aligned}$$

2. Remember that g'' is continuous in S . Thus for every ε exists N s.t. for every $0 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1}$ and every $S_k^{(N)} \leq S \leq S_{k+1}^{(N)}$, $|g(B(s)) - g''(B(S_k^{(N)}))| < \varepsilon$. Take $n \gg N$. For every $0 \leq k \leq 2^N$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=k2^{n-N}}^{(k+1)2^{n-N}-1} [B(S_{j+1}^{(n)}) - B(S_j^{(n)})]^2 = 2^{-N}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} g''(B(s)) [B(S_j^{(n)}) - B(S_{j+1}^{(n)})]^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \left[\sum_{j=k2^{n-N}}^{(k+1)2^{n-N}-1} g''(B(s)) [B(S_{j+1}^{(n)}) - B(S_j^{(n)})]^2 \right]^2$$

For every k

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\sum_{j=k2^{n-N}}^{(k+1)2^{n-N}-1} (g''(B(S_k^{(N)})) - \varepsilon) [B(S_{j+1}^{(n)}) - B(S_j^{(n)})]^2}_{(\star)} &\leq \sum_{j=k2^{n-N}}^{(k+1)2^{n-N}-1} g''(B(s)) [B(S_{j+1}^{(n)}) - B(S_j^{(n)})]^2 \\ &\leq \underbrace{\sum_{j=k2^{n-N}}^{(k+1)2^{n-N}-1} (g''(B(S_k^{(N)})) + \varepsilon) [B(S_{j+1}^{(n)}) - B(S_j^{(n)})]^2}_{(\star\star)} \end{aligned}$$

So we compute (\star) to

$$(\star) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} g''(B(S_k^{(N)})) \cdot 2^{-N}$$

and

$$(\star\star) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} g''(B(S_k^{(N)})) \cdot 2^{-N}$$

For every $S_k^{(N)} \leq S \leq S_{k+1}^{(N)}$

$$|g''(B(s)) - g''(B(S_k^{(N)}))| < \varepsilon 2^{-N}$$

\Rightarrow for all large enough n

$$\left| (2) - \int_0^1 g''(B(s)) ds \right| < 2\varepsilon$$

so

$$(2) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 g''(B(s)) ds$$



Exercise:

A bad student of Ito' said the following. In the Taylor expansion, say

$$g(B(S_{k+1}^{(n)})) - g(B(S_k^{(n)})) = g'(s) [B(S_{k+1}^{(n)}) - B(S_k^{(n)})]$$

follow calculations, and get

$$g(B(1)) - g(B(0)) = \int_0^1 g'(B(s)) dB(s)$$

Where exactly is the student wrong?

8.2 Definition

An n -dimensional BM is n independent BM's $B^{(1)}, \dots, B^{(n)}$ The corresponding filtration is

$$F_t = \sigma(B^{(1)}(s), \dots, B^{(n)}(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t).$$

All theory is same. We can define

$$\int f dB^{(j)}(s)$$

for any $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Exercise: What is $\int_0^1 B^{(1)}(s) dB^{(2)}(s)$?

8.3 Definition

Multi dimensional Ito's formula: Let $g : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume g is diff. w.r.t. first coordinate and continuous twice differentiable w.r.t all other coordinates [We also require $\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ exists and continuous] then

$$\begin{aligned} g(t, B^{(1)}(t), B^{(2)}(t), \dots, B^{(n)}(t)) &= g(0, B^{(1)}(0), \dots, B^{(n)}(0)) \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{\partial g}{\partial X_0} (s, B^{(1)}(s), \dots, B^{(n)}(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \frac{\partial g}{\partial X_i} (s, B^{(1)}(s), \dots, B^{(n)}(s)) dB^i(s) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial X_i^2} (s, B^{(1)}(s), \dots, B^{(n)}(s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

8.4 Fact

Let $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a continuous square-integrable martingale (with $M_0 = 0$). There is a unique continuous increasing process N_t that satisfies:

$$N_0 = 0 \quad M_t^2 - N_t \text{ is a martingale}$$

Moreover $N_t = \langle M \rangle_t$.

8.5 Proposition

Let $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Brownian motion. Let $u(t, w)$ be a progressively meas. function s.t

$$E \left[\int_0^t u(s, w)^2 ds \right] < \infty \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

Then

$$\left\langle \int_0^t u_s dB_s \right\rangle_t = \int_0^t u_s^2 ds$$

Proof:

Since $\int_0^t u(s, w) dB_s =: X_t$ is continuous, increasing and satisfies $X_0 = 0$. By the Proposition, it suffices to show that

$$X_t^2 - \int_0^t u(s, w)^2 ds$$

is a martingale. Indeed for $t > s$

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_t | F_s] - X_s &= E[X_t - X_s | F_s] \\ &= E \left[\int_s^t u^2(r, w) dB_r | F_s \right] \\ &= E \left[\int_s^t u^2(r, w) dr | F_s \right] \\ &= E \left[\int_0^t u^2(r, w) dr - \int_0^s u^2(r, w) dr | F_s \right] \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$E \left[X_t - \int_0^t u^2(r, w) dr | F_s \right] = X_s - \int_0^s u^2(r, w) dr$$

and

$$X_t - \int_0^t u^2(r, w) dr$$

is a martingale. ■

8.6 Definition

A stochastic process X_t is called an **Ito process w.r.t Brownian motion** B_t if

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t a(s, w) ds + \int_0^t b(s, w) dB_s \quad 0 < t \leq T$$

For some progressive measurable a, b s.t

$$E \left[\int_0^T b^2(s, w) ds \right] < \infty$$

$$P \left[\int_0^T |a| ds < \infty \right] = 1$$

Thus let X_t be an Ito process def. as above, $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$. Let $Y_t = g(t, X_t)$. Then

$$Y_t - Y_0 = \int_0^t \left(\dot{g} + ag' + \frac{1}{2} b^2 g'' \right) ds + \int_0^t (bg') dB_t \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

When

$$\dot{g} = \partial_t g, \quad g' = \partial_x g, \quad g'' = \partial_{xx} g$$

Notation If

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t a ds + \int_0^t b dB_s$$

We sometimes write $dX_t = a ds + b dB_s$.

Proof: Recall for $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$df(B_t) = f'(B_s) dB_s + \frac{1}{2} f''(B_s) d\langle B \rangle_t$$

$$dg(t, B_t) = \dot{g} dt + g' dX_t + \frac{1}{2} g'' d\langle X \rangle_t$$

Where $\langle X \rangle_t = \int_0^t b^2 ds$ (by the Proposition). ■

- $d(g(t, X_t)) = \dot{g} dt + g' dX_t + \frac{1}{2} g'' (dX_t)^2$ and when you expand $(dX_t)^2 = (adt + b dB_t)^2$
- follow the rule

$$dt \cdot dt = 0$$

$$dt \cdot dB_t = 0$$

Remark: One can similarly define multidimensional Ito processes and state an Ito's formula for them: If

$$(B_1, \dots, B_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

is a d-dimensional BM, an d-dimensional Ito-process is a collection of processes

$$X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(n)}$$

s.t

$$X_t^{(n)} = X_0^{(n)} + \int_0^t a_n ds + \int_{i=1}^d \int_0^t b_{n,i} dB_s$$

where $a_n, b_{n,i}$ satisfy appropriate conditions s.t. the integrals are well-defined. Ito's formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & g(t, X_t^{(1)}, \dots, X_t^{(n)}) - g(0, X_0^{(1)}, \dots, X_0^{(n)}) \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{\partial g}{\partial s} ds + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t a_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial X_i} ds + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^d b_{kj} \frac{\partial g}{\partial X_k} dB_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^d b_{kj}^2 \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial X_k^2} ds \end{aligned}$$